

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/855,813	05/14/2001	Michael J. Kobb	22407 - 05443	1543
20306	7590 04/05/2006		EXAMINER	
	ELL BOEHNEN HUI	BOCCIO, VINCENT F		
300 S. WACKER DRIVE 32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Α,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/855,813	KOBB, MICHAEL J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vincent F. Boccio	2616
The MAILING DATE of this communication of Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ar 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ T 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matter	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,7,9-12 and 15-21 is/are rejected for the complex of the compl	drawn from consideration. ed. d to. d/or election requirement. iner. accepted or b) □ objected to by	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation of th	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apprincity documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/N	fail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/855,813 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 2616.

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/8/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- {A} In re page 8 applicant challenges the official notice claim 1, for having a user input means to dictate a start of reproduction time.

In response the examiner cites, Aotake, (Sony/July 8, 1998, US 6,411,771), which is a recording system with TV tuner Fig. 5, timer recording operation Fig. 7, tape setting Fig. 8, quality settings Fig. 10, having an interface control Fig. 15 for recorded material, having a time display 345, having a slider being a means for a user to select a start of reproduction time or a time input slider bar with associated numeric time indication display, a play button to enter a command dictating playing at any point desired, start time or after or anytime, therein the clock display can be changed between

- lapsing time;
- remaining;
- a recording point;
- a lapsing period of time.

Therefore, based on the provided user input means being a graphical user interface with slide bar to select time, a display to confirm the desired time, wherein the display has multiple modes, elapse and remaining and two others, the examiner renders the limitation obvious that a user can adjust the slide bar to the broadcast time or prior or after, using the numeric display to select a time to command playback from, as taught by Aotake, as is obvious to those skilled in the art.

The other official notices taken by the examiner are not deemed properly challenged, the examiner upon a reasonable request will provide additional references.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,813 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

The examiner offers his assistance, if desired to capture applicant invention in the broadest light being most advantageous to applicant inventor/assignee.

It is noted that Aotake does provide some teaching, display of elapse time and other display time modes, a user GUI and user input time selection for playback.

Since the claims recite comprising additional elements with respect to the prior art can be made.

Conclusion

If the system has the capability upon a reproduction command without any other user input, that the system would automatically start at the scheduled time for playback, even though the recording was extended, this feature amended to the independent claims would overcome the art applied and aware of and searched for, by the primary examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. This application currently names joint inventors. considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/855,813

Art Unit: 2616

Claims 1-4, 8-9, 12, 15, 16, 17-18, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knudson et al. (US 6141,488).

Regarding claims 1-4, 8-9, 12, 15, 17-18, 21 the examiner incorporates by reference the last action against claims.

Claims 7, 10-11, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knudson et al. (US 6141,488) in view of Wehmeyer et al. (US 5,682,206).

Regarding claims 7, 10-11, 19-20, the examiner incorporates by reference the last action against the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims {5, 13, 22}, {6, 14, 23} are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record fails to tech, disclose or suggest, claims 5, 13 & 22, wherein even though, the beginning of recording was extended, the recited counter counting elapse time from the scheduled rather than the beginning, is not known in the art.

Claims 6, 14 & 23, the prior art also fails to disclose, when a recording has been extended from the beginning to provide a counter that counts recorded data between the record start and scheduled start time as negative time.

Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,813

Art Unit: 2616

Contact Fax Information

Any response to this action should be faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for communication intended for entry)

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to the examiner of record, Monday-Tuesday & Thursday-Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM Vincent F. Boccio (571) 272-7373.

Primary Examiner, Boccio, Vincent 2/21/06

WINCENT BOCCIO WINCENT BOCCIO PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5